

### 5 Things to Know: NaaS vs. Build-and-Operate Cloud Networking Overlays

A Practical Model Comparison Guide for Infrastructure, Cloud, and Network Teams



#### SCOPE

**NaaS** supports enterprise-wide connectivity with a unified policy model across clouds, data centers, clinics, and partners.

**Build-and-operate cloud networking overlays** typically focus on cloud environments and may require additional tools for non-cloud needs.



**NaaS** is delivered as a service with centralized control through a SaaS portal. Zero hardware or software to deploy.

#### WHO RUNS IT

**Build-and-operate cloud networking overlays** require your teams to design, deploy, patch, scale, and troubleshoot controllers and gateways per cloud, which increases operational burden as scope grows.



#### Is Alkira NaaS Right for You?

##### Best Fit For:

Healthcare networks that need **consistent PHI segmentation** across hospitals, clinics, clouds, SaaS, and partners

Teams that want **as-a-service operations** with fewer infrastructure components to deploy, patch, and manage

Organizations optimizing for **clinical uptime** and faster, safer change windows

Health systems that regularly onboard **new sites, acquired practices, and external partners** and need repeatable connectivity and audit evidence

*Not sure? Talk to our team. We'll help you map fit and value across your environment.*



#### POLICY MODEL

**NaaS** centralizes segmentation intent and enforcement across domains, reducing translation gaps that lead to drift.

**Build-and-operate cloud networking overlays** often depend on per-cloud primitives and overlay constructs, which can be hard to keep consistent across clouds, sites, and partner paths.



**NaaS** is designed to connect hospitals, clinics, DR sites, SaaS, and partner networks as a single operating scope.

#### BEYOND PUBLIC CLOUD

**Build-and-operate cloud networking overlays** are optimized for public cloud connectivity and can become a multi-tool design when you add clinics, medical device networks, or partner exchange.



#### DESIGN APPROACH

**NaaS** prioritizes operational simplicity, repeatable change, and consistent segmentation at enterprise scale.

**Build-and-operate cloud networking overlays** add components and coordination overhead, which increases misconfiguration risk and change friction in regulated, uptime-sensitive environments.





# Expanded Operating Model Comparison

| Consideration Points                     | NlaaS   | Build-and-Operate Cloud Networking Overlays   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Speed to Value</b>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delivered as a service with a cloud-delivered global fabric</li> <li>Bring new regions, clinics, and partner connections online within hours or days via repeatable workflows</li> <li>Faster onboarding for acquisitions, new ambulatory sites, and DR readiness</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires architecture design plus deployment of multiple components per cloud/environment</li> <li>Expansion typically adds more build steps, integration, and validation</li> <li>Timelines often extend as you add clinics, non-cloud sites, and third-party connectivity. Bringing up sites can take weeks or months</li> </ul> |
| <b>Control &amp; Visibility</b>          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Centralized SaaS portal for policy, telemetry, and operations</li> <li>End-to-end visibility across cloud and non-cloud scope</li> <li>Easier to generate unified evidence for audits and incident response</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Visibility split across overlay tooling, cloud consoles, and monitoring systems</li> <li>Cross-domain troubleshooting becomes manual correlation across tools</li> <li>Audit reporting often requires stitching data from multiple sources</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Operational Model</b>                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Network infrastructure delivered -aaS with SLAs and standardized operations</li> <li>Reduces day-2 load across cloud, network, and security teams</li> <li>Operational overhead scales more predictably as footprint grows</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Your teams own lifecycle management for controllers, gateways, routing, upgrades, and scaling</li> <li>Incident handling and interoperability are on you</li> <li>Ops effort rises with every new region, cloud, site, and partner path</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Security Posture</b>                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Centralized segmentation and policy enforcement across domains</li> <li>Supports PHI isolation and least-privilege access patterns</li> <li>Repeatable controls across hybrid scope with fewer drift points</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Security posture becomes a blend of overlay policy, cloud-native controls, and third-party tooling</li> <li>Equivalent controls across clouds/sites are harder to maintain consistently</li> <li>Drift risk increases as policies are translated across multiple systems</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Deployment Speed &amp; Complexity</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fewer moving parts for clinics and partner connectivity</li> <li>Changes follow consistent workflows via UI, API, or MCP Server</li> <li>Reduces change risk during tight maintenance windows</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires deploying and linking components in each cloud</li> <li>Additional design work for clinics, partner exchange, and DR paths</li> <li>Complexity and misconfiguration risk increase as scope expands</li> </ul>   |